Complex-Network Modelling and Inference Lecture 10: Random Graphs: Erdos-Renyi random graphs

Matthew Roughan <matthew.roughan@adelaide.edu.au> https://roughan.info/notes/Network\_Modelling/

> School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide

> > March 7, 2024

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへで

## Section 1

#### Random Graphs

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Why?

- We often need graphs to use in simulations
  - because we aren't clever enough to do analysis of layers of network protocols on top of a graph
  - e.g., simulations of communications networks
- We need statistical ensembles of graphs to test ideas
  - and there is only 1 real graph
  - e.g., to generate confidence intervals on results
- Random graphs can let us test hypotheses
  - postulate a particular type of random graph as a model
    - ★ sometimes null models or straw men
  - look at its features
- Often want to understand graph behaviour as it gets larger than any examples we have
  - e.g., how will my algorithm work in the future if the network gets much bigger?

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

## The idea at the root

- We start with the idea that there is an ensemble of graphs
  - e.g.,  $\mathcal{G}_n = \{ \text{all graphs with } n \text{ nodes} \}$
  - e.g.,  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k} = \{ \text{all graphs with } n \text{ nodes and } k \text{ edges } \}$
  - but these ensembles are usually VERY VERY big
- Then we apply a probability measure to the ensemble, e.g., define

$$P(G), \forall G \in \mathcal{G}_n$$

But note that

- P(G) might be too small to calculate
- P(G) may be too computationally complex to calculate
- Even if P(G) is easy, we don't want to use it directly
  - \* e.g., even if we knew P(G) = const, we don't want to search through all possible graphs to get "the one"
- So we need a method for constructing graphs that match a given probability distribution, or usually that match some observed features of our graph(s) of interest

A D N A B N A B N A B N

### Section 2

#### Gilbert-Erdős-Rényi random graph

э.

Image: A match a ma

Gilbert-Erdős-Rényi random graph [Gil59, ER60]

G(n,p)

- Take n = |N| nodes
- connect them at random
  - for each pair of nodes flip a (biased) coin
  - if it is heads connect them
- nodes are adjacent with probability p
  - ▶ number of edges will be binomial as we have n(n − 1)/2 iid Bernoulli trials, so

$$prob(|E| = k) = {n(n-1)/2 \choose k} p^k (1-p)^{n(n-1)/2-k}.$$

• all graphs with n nodes, and k edges have equal probability

$$P(G|k \text{ edges}) = 1/|\mathcal{G}_{n,k}| = const$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

### Gilbert-Erdős-Rényi random graph features

• Average number of links e = |E|

$$E[e] = pn(n-1)/2 = p\binom{n}{2}.$$

• Degree distribution is also binomial

$$p_k = \binom{n-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-1-k}.$$

- critical threshold np = 1
  - As p or n increases, the graphs become more and more likely to be connected

#### Limits of the Binomial distribution: I

Binomial

$$p_k = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$

Take limit as  $n \to \infty$ , the Binomial distribution approaches a "Normal" distribution  $\mathcal{N}(np, np(1-p))$ , i.e,

• mean is  $\mu = np$ 

• variance is 
$$\sigma^2 = np(1-p)$$

• distribution is Gaussian, i.e.,

$$p(x)\simeq rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2}.$$

A (10) N (10) N (10)

### Limits of the Binomial distribution: I

Proof: by the Central Limit Theorem which states: take sum of n iid random variables with finite variance

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_n,$$

then in the limit as  $n \to \infty$ 

$$Z_n = \frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

where  $\stackrel{d}{\rightarrow}$  means convergence in distribution. A Binomial distribution is the sum of *n* iid Bernoulli random variates to the result is immediate.

#### Limits of the Binomial distribution: II

Binomial

$$p_k = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

Take limit as  $n \to \infty$ , such that  $np = \lambda$  is kept constant. The Binomial converges to the Poisson distribution:

$$p_k = rac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}.$$

### Limits of the Binomial distribution: II

Proof:  $np = \lambda$ , so  $p = \lambda/n \rightarrow 0$ 

$$p_{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}p^{k}(1-\lambda/n)^{-k}(1-\lambda/n)^{n}$$

$$\simeq \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}p^{k}1\exp(-\lambda)$$

$$\simeq \frac{n!}{(n-k)!n^{k}}\frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}\exp(-\lambda)$$

$$\simeq \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}\exp(-\lambda)$$

Matthew Roughan (School of Mathematical

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Erdős-Rény random graph features

critical piece of information is  $np = \lambda$  and how this behaves as n increases

• node degree distribution is approximately Poisson

$$p_k = \binom{n-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-1-k} \simeq \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \exp(-\lambda)$$

• average number of links per node is  $(n-1)p \simeq \lambda$ 

- for  $\lambda < 1$ , average number of links per node is < 1
- for  $\lambda > 1$ , average number of links per node is > 1
- probability degree 0 is  $p_0 = \exp(-\lambda)$

- Take case that  $n \to \infty$  with  $np = \lambda$  fixed.
- Chance that two nodes are adjacent is  $p \rightarrow 0$ .
- What is the chance that they are connected?

What is the chance two nodes are connected by a length 2 path?

 $prob\{i, j \text{ are connected by a length 2 path}\}$  $= 1 - \text{prob}\{\text{no length } 2 \text{ path exists from } i \text{ to } j\}$  $= 1 - \prod \text{prob}\{\text{path } i - k - j \text{ doesn't exist}\}$ k≠i.i  $= 1 - \prod (1 - \operatorname{prob}\{\operatorname{path} i - k - j \operatorname{does} \operatorname{exist}\})$ k≠i.i  $= 1 - (1 - p^2)^{n-2}$  $= 1 - (1 - (\lambda/n)^2)^{n-2}$  $\rightarrow 0$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Some crude approximations

 $\operatorname{prob}\{i, j \text{ are connected by a length } k \text{ path}\} \simeq n^{k-1}p^k = \lambda^k/n$ 

Sum over all possible path lengths and we get

prob{a path exists} 
$$\simeq (\lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^{n-1})/n$$

In the limit as  $n \to \infty$  the properties of this depend on whether  $\lambda$  is larger than, or smaller than 1.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

 $\lambda < 1$ 

prob{a path exists} 
$$\simeq (\lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^{n-1})/n$$
  
 $\simeq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda^i/n$   
 $\simeq \left(\frac{\lambda^n - \lambda}{\lambda - 1}\right)/n$   
 $\rightarrow 0$ 

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

 $\lambda = 1$ 

prob{a path exists} 
$$\simeq (\lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^{n-1})/n$$
  
 $\simeq \frac{n-1}{n}$   
 $\rightarrow 1$ 

 $\lambda > 1$ 

prob{a path exists} 
$$\simeq (\lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^{n-1})/n$$
  
 $> \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{n}$   
 $\rightarrow \infty$ 

(though obviously a real probability can't go to  $\infty$ )

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

э

## Gilbert-Erdős-Rényi random graph features

critical piece threshold for  $\textit{np} = \lambda$ 

- np < 1: the size of the largest connected component grows as O(log n)
- np = 1: the size of the largest connected component grows as  $O(n^{2/3})$
- np > 1: the largest connected component will have O(n) nodes, and the next largest component will contain no more than O(log n) nodes.

## Gilbert-Erdős-Rényi random graph features

Clustering

• global measure of whether nodes tend to cluster

$$c=3t_1/t_2,$$

• local measure of how close a node and its neighbours are to being a clique

$$c_i = rac{|\{(j,k) \in E | j, k \in N_i\}|}{k_i(k_i-1)/2},$$

where  $N_i$  is the neigbourhood of *i*, and  $k_i = |N_i|$ .

### Global clustering

$$c=3t_1/t_2,$$

where

$$t_1 =$$
 number of triangles

$$t_2$$
 = number of connected triples

- If three nodes are connected, they form a triangle if there is a third link.
- probability of a triangle conditional on the other two links is p.
- in the limit as  $n \to \infty$  where np = const, the global clustering

#### Local clustering

$$c_i = rac{|\{(j,k) \in E | j, k \in N_i\}|}{k_i(k_i-1)/2},$$

where  $N_i$  is the neighbourhood of *i*, and  $k_i = |N_i|$ .

- Conditional on k neighbours, there are k(k-1)/2 possible other links.
- Each exists with probability p
- On average p[k(k-1)/2] of these exists

• So as 
$$n \to \infty$$

$$E[c_i] = \frac{pk(k-1)/2}{k(k-1)/2}$$
$$= p$$
$$\rightarrow 0$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三

## Gilbert-Erdős-Rényi random graph features

- clustering: Gilbert-Erdős-Rényi RGs don't cluster well
  - intuitively the degree of nodes remains roughly the same
  - more choices for destinations of links
  - so "neighbours" become less densely adjacent

### Gilbert-Erdős-Rényi Mark II

- Take n = |N| nodes
- connect them with *m* edges, randomly assigned
- nodes are adjacent with probability  $p = \frac{m}{n(n-1)/2}$
- This is really the Erdős-Rényi graph
- in limit  $pn^2 \to \infty$  the two types of Gilbert-Erdős-Rényi graphs have similar properties.

#### Parameter estimation

- Whenever we have a model we should ask
  - how can I estimate its parameters?
  - what data would I need to do so?
- So parameter estimation (formally part of statistics) should also be part of any modelling toolkit

Parameter estimation for Gilbert-Erdős-Rényi

• The number of edges is a binomial

$$|E| \sim Bin(|N|(|N|-1)/2, p)$$

- Sufficient statistics for estimating parameters are |E| and |N|
- There are numerous estimators for the parameters of Binomial distributions
  - e.g., MLE (Maximum Likelihood Estimator)

$$\hat{p} = rac{2|E|}{|N|(|N|-1)}$$

Also many ways to compute confidence intervals, etc.

## Further reading I

- P. Erdős and A. Rényi, On the evolution of random graphs, Publications of the Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences 5 (1960), 17–61.
- E.N. Gilbert, *Random graphs*, Annals of Mathematical Statistics 30 (1959), 1441–1144.