Variational Methods & Optimal Control

lecture 28

Matthew Roughan <matthew.roughan@adelaide.edu.au>

Discipline of Applied Mathematics School of Mathematical Sciences University of Adelaide

April 14, 2016

Variational Methods & Optimal Control: lecture 28 – p.1/??

Feedback control systems

In all of our previous examples, we solve optimization problem "all at once", i.e., we plan the shape of the curve *y* to optimize the functional. However, sometimes, we need a control that reacts continuously to perturbations in a system. Such controllers typically utilize feedback.

Feedback control systems

- control problem until now have been planned.
 - know the problem before hand
 - assume state is perfectly observable
 - plan the control from the start
- alternative: feedback control
 - observe the state at time t
 - make decisions on the best control at time t
 - continually update this decision

Liquid level control system

- Tank of uniform cross-sectional area
- Fluid pumped in at rate Q(t)
- Fluid level x(t)
- Flow out $k\sqrt{x}$
- Given constant flow, then steady-state will be $x_s = (Q/k)^2$

when there are fluctuations, we wish to bring the tank back to steady state, by addition of suitable input at rate q(t).

control

q(1

Q(t)

x

Liquid level optimal control problem

we wish to operate near steady state, so part of cost is the square deviation $\int_{0}^{T} [r(t) - r]^{2} dt$

$$\int_0^1 [x(t) - x_s]^2 dt$$

Also want to minimize control expenditure, e.g.

$$\int_0^T q(t)^2 dt$$

Problem is to minimize a linear combination of these two, e.g.

$$\int_0^T \left[(x(t) - x_s)^2 + \alpha^2 q(t)^2 \right] dt$$

The dynamics of the system are

$$\dot{x} = Q + q - k\sqrt{x}$$

We can linearize the problem as follows:

note we wish to maintain x near x_s .

can approximate x near x_s by a Taylor series

$$\sqrt{x} = \sqrt{x_s} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x_s}}(x - x_s) + O\left((x - x_s)^2\right)$$

• the steady state will be when $\dot{x} = 0$, so $Q = k\sqrt{x_s}$

change variables to
$$y = x - x_s$$
, so given $A = k/2\sqrt{x_s}$
 $\dot{y} = -Ay + q(t)$

So the optimal control problem can be written as minimize

$$F\{y\} = \int_0^T \left[y(t)^2 + \alpha^2 q(t)^2 \right] dt$$

subject to $\dot{y} = -Ay + q(t)$, and $y(0) = x(0) - x_s$ and y(T) = 0.

Substitute $q(t) = Ay + \dot{y}$ into the integral and we get

$$F\{y\} = \int_0^T \left[y(t)^2 + \alpha^2 (Ay + \dot{y})^2 \right] dt$$

so the E-L equations are

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2\alpha^2 \frac{d}{dt} \left[\dot{y} + Ay \right] - 2y - 2\alpha^2 \left[A\dot{y} + A^2y \right] = 0$$

Variational Methods & Optimal Control: lecture 28 – p.7/??

$$2\alpha^{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\dot{y} + Ay] - 2y - 2\alpha^{2} [A\dot{y} + A^{2}y] = 0$$

$$\alpha^{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\dot{y} + Ay] - y - \alpha^{2} [A\dot{y} + A^{2}y] = 0$$

$$\alpha^{2} [\dot{y} + A\dot{y} - A\dot{y} - A^{2}y] - y = 0$$

$$\dot{y} - \left[\frac{1 + \alpha^{2}A^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}\right]y = 0$$

This has solution

$$y(t) = Ce^{\lambda t} + Be^{-\lambda t}$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1+\alpha^2 A^2}{\alpha^2}}$.

The solution is

$$y(t) = Ce^{\lambda t} + Be^{-\lambda t}$$

Given the end-point constraint that $y(0) = y_0$, we get conditions $C + B = y_0$, so the solution is in the form

$$y(t) = y_0 \left(a e^{\lambda t} + (1-a) e^{-\lambda t} \right)$$

Take the case where $T \to \infty$, and we wish y(T) = 0, i.e. the fluctuation should go to zero in the far future, then, we require a = 0, and the solution will be

$$y(t) = y_0 e^{-\lambda}$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1+\alpha^2 A^2}{\alpha^2}}$. We get q(t) from $q(t) = Ay + \dot{y}$ which gives $q(t) = (A - \lambda)y_0e^{-\lambda t} = (A - \lambda)y(t)$

Variational Methods & Optimal Control: lecture 28 – p.9/??

- the above gives the optimal control policy q(t) over the whole interval [0, T]
- actually, a feedback control problem would be more convenient
 - **given the state** y(t), what should the control be
 - write the control as a function of y, e.g. q(y)
- example: proportional control

$$q(y) = My$$

where *M* is called the gain

we choose proportional control because in previous planned solution $q(t) = (A - \lambda)y_0e^{-\lambda t}$ which is proportional to the perturbation y(t)

Given a proportional controller, it is easy to rewrite the dynamic equation

 $\dot{y} = -Ay + q(t)$

using q(y) = My as

 $\dot{y} + (A - M)y = 0$

which has solution

$$y(t) = y_0 e^{-(A-M)t}$$

We wish to choose M so that it minimizes

$$F\{y\} = \int_0^T \left[y(t)^2 + \alpha^2 q(t)^2 \right] dt$$

Variational Methods & Optimal Control: lecture 28 – p.11/??

$$F\{y\} = \int_0^T [y(t)^2 + \alpha^2 q(t)^2] dt$$

= $\int_0^T [y(t)^2 + \alpha^2 M^2 y(t)^2] dt$
= $(1 + \alpha^2 M^2) \int_0^T y(t)^2 dt$
= $y_0 (1 + \alpha^2 M^2) \int_0^T e^{-2(A - M)t} dt$
= $y_0 \frac{(1 + \alpha^2 M^2)}{-2(A - M)} \left[e^{-2(A - M)t} \right]_0^T$

Variational Methods & Optimal Control: lecture 28 – p.12/??

Take the case $T \rightarrow \infty$ and we get

$$F\{y\} = y_0 \frac{(1 + \alpha^2 M^2)}{2(A - M)}$$

In order to find a maximum we differentiate WRT *M*, to get

$$\frac{d}{dM}F\{y\} = y_0 \frac{\alpha^2 2M2(A-M) + 2(1+\alpha^2 M^2)}{4(A-M)^2}$$
$$= y_0 \frac{2\alpha^2 M(A-M) + (1+\alpha^2 M^2)}{2(A-M)^2}$$

To get a minimum, the numerator must be zero, so we set

$$2\alpha^2 M(A-M) + \left(1 + \alpha^2 M^2\right) = 0$$

Variational Methods & Optimal Control: lecture 28 – p.13/??

$$2\alpha^{2}M(A - M) + (1 + \alpha^{2}M^{2}) = 0$$

$$-\alpha^{2}M^{2} + 2\alpha^{2}AM + 1 = 0$$

$$M^{2} - 2AM - 1/\alpha^{2} = 0$$

This is just a quadratic equation in M, which we solve to get

$$M = \frac{2A \pm \sqrt{4A^2 + 4/\alpha^2}}{2} = A \pm \sqrt{A^2 + 1/\alpha^2}$$

If we take the solution with the '+' sign, then $F\{y\} = y_0 \frac{(1+\alpha^2 M^2)}{2(A-M)}$ will be negative (the denominator is negative), so we are restricted to

$$M = A - \sqrt{A^2 + 1/\alpha^2}$$

Variational Methods & Optimal Control: lecture 28 – p.14/??

- M < 0, which makes sense, because the control has to reverse fluctuations.
- Compare the feedback and planned solutions

$$q(t) = (A - \lambda)y_0 e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$q(t) = My(t) = \left(A - \sqrt{A^2 + 1/\alpha^2}\right)y_0 e^{-(A - M)t}$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1+\alpha^2 A^2}{\alpha^2}}$, and notice they are the same.

- for α large, e.g. high control cost, the solution has $1/\alpha^2 \rightarrow 0$, and so $M \rightarrow 0$.
- for α small, e.g. low control cost, the fluctuation cost dominates, and $M \rightarrow -1/\alpha$