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I write to find out what I think about something. Neil Gaiman, The View From the Cheap Seats

## Section 1

## Get Started

- The reason I feel like we can do this is because (I hope) you all know some Matlab, and Julia is syntactically and operationally very much like Matlab
- syntax is very similar
- REPL ${ }^{1}$ is similar
$\star$ tab completion, and up arrows work
$\star$ ? = help
* ; = shell escape to OS
- JIT compiler
- Use cases are similar

[^0]
## So have a go

- You should have installed Julia before the workshop
- Start it up
- start up varies depending on IDE, and OS
- I am using simplest case (for me): the CLI, on a Mac
- it's all very Unix-y
- Type some calculations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=3 \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{a}+2 \\
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}^{\wedge} 2
\end{aligned}
$$

- Create a script, e.g., "test.jl", and "include" it
include("test.jl")
- its a little more cumbersome than Matlab


## Section 2

## Julia Isn't Matlab (or Octave)

Julia may look a lot like Matlab but

- under the hood its very different
- and there are a lot of changes that affect you otherwise why would we bother?


## Why Julia? Big Differences

- Faster (natively)
- depends on what you are doing though
- Better name spaces
- better for modules
- Better Support for Types and Data Structures
- Strongly typed, but dynamic
- Lots of useful types
* e.g., Dictionaries (associative arrays)
- Homoiconic: Julia parses its code into Julia data structures (which we can potentially manipulate)
- Concurrency


## (Native) Speed is Key

## High-level languages

interpreted
interactive
exploratory programming
dynamic types
cool

e.g.<br>Matlab<br>R<br>Python

compiled static types old/boring

Julia
$\stackrel{\text { e. }}{\text { C. }}$ Fortran

## Easy

Fast

## Faster: Their Benchmarks



- $y$-axis is powers of 10
- Relative to C performance
- Smaller is better


## Faster: My Benchmarks

Simple function that calculates whether 3 points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ are in clockwise or counter-clockwise order.


## Less Obvious, But Important Differences

- Lots, lets deal with 1 by 1
- I will focus on the points that gave me the most pain or pleasure


## 1D and 2D Arrays

- Similar to Matlab
- row based definition (as in Matlab)
- similar constructors: zeros, ones, ...
- Array definition is slightly different
- no commas in row definition
- commas or semicolons separate rows, but with slightly different meaning
- can have any type of element
- Julia has true one-dimensional arrays, i.e., vectors
- a single column of a 2D array is not the same as a vector
- for me there are some slight weirdnesses in this
- Can lead to confusing bugs to start with, but can also allow for more efficient code.

ฝ how many Matlab functions begin by checking row or col vector input, or changing it around?

## 1D and 2D Arrays

## Try It!

?ones

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right] \\
& B=[1,2.0,3] \\
& C=[1,2,3 / / 4] \\
& \left.\mathrm{D} 1=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 5 & 6
\end{array}\right]\right] \\
& \text { D2 }=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 3 ; & 4 & 5
\end{array}\right] \\
& \text { D3 }=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 5 & 6
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathrm{E}=\text { Array }\{\operatorname{Int} 64\}(2,3) \\
& \mathrm{F}=\text { ["string1" "string2"] } \\
& G=\operatorname{zeros}(2,3) \\
& \mathrm{H}=\text { ones (Int64, 3) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Array Indexing

- Can still use Matlab forms : and end
- But use square brackets for array indexing
- Try It!

```
A[2]
D3 [2, 3]
D3[2, :]
D3[2, end]
```

- Square brackets are better
- separates functions from arrays
- consistent with array definition
- avoids name clashes, and hence bugs
- But I keep typing it wrong :(

Like Matlab, Julia starts indexing from 1, not 0

## Julia arrays are assigned by reference

- If you type $A=B$, you are not creating a copy of $B$, you are creating a reference, so
- Try It!

```
\(X=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right]\)
\(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}\)
    \(Y[1]=3\)
    X
    Z = copy(X) \# create an actual copy, not a ref
    Z[1] = 4
    X
```

- Same is true of function array arguments: they are passed by reference
- a function can alter its inputs
- This is efficient, but can lead to some obscure bugs
- Matlab has a fancy hybrid system, that is actually pretty nice IMHO


## Julia has "tuples"

- Almost like an array
- ordered sequence of values
- denoted by round braces
- but can index them as with arrays
- But they are immutable
- once created you can't change them
- can be very efficient
- Try It!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=(1,2,3,4) \\
& t[3: \text { end }] \\
& t[1]=2
\end{aligned}
$$

- Used all over the place, e.g.,
- function argument lists
- returning multiple arguments from functions


## Range Objects and Iterators

- In Julia a : b constructs a Range object, not a vector
- You can iterate over a Range
- more efficient because it lazily calculates values
* doesn't use as much memory
* saves effort if you break out of the loop
- If you want the vector use collect, but often you don't need to


## Try It!

```
x = 3:2:11
for i = x
    println(i)
end
x[3:end-1]
x + 10
collect(x)
```


## Semicolons, Ellipsis, and Comments

- Matlab
- ; at the end of a line suppresses output
- ... extends a line
- Matlab comments preceded by \% Julia comments preceded by \#
- Julia
- ; at end of line doesn't do anything except when typing interactively in REPL
$\star$ e.g., don't need semi-colons in function defs
- incomplete lines are automatically continued
- Try It! ${ }^{2}$

$$
x=3+
$$

${ }^{2}$ I notice that the Atom-based IDE doesn't do line continuation in its console. $\bar{\equiv}$

## .* notation for everything

- The Matlab idea of . * is extended to most other operators Try It!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[2,4] . *[10,20]} \\
& {[1,2,3] .-[1,2,3]} \\
& {[3,4] .==[3,5]} \\
& {[3,4] .<[3,5]}
\end{aligned}
$$

- And BTW, we can use C-like syntax to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=1 \\
& x \quad \star=2 \\
& x-=7
\end{aligned}
$$

but not i++

## Stronger support for data types with multiple dispatch



Try It!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=3 \\
& \mathrm{~b}=2.3 \\
& \mathrm{c}=3 / / 6 \\
& \text { typeof }(\mathrm{a}), \text { typeof }(\mathrm{b}), \text { typeof }(\mathrm{c}) \\
& \text { sqre }(-1) \\
& \text { sqre }(\text { complex }(-1))
\end{aligned}
$$

## Tighter scoping rules

- Variables have scope of the block they are defined in Try It!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=3 \\
& \text { for } i=1: n \\
& \quad x=2 i \\
& \text { end } \\
& i \\
& x
\end{aligned}
$$

- You need to pre-define the variable outside the loop to use it outside the loop
- e.g., set $i=0$ before the loop


## Separate Char and String types (yay!)

- Single-quotes to define a Char
- Double-quotes to define a String
- Concatenation operator is * Try It!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}='^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime} \\
& \mathrm{b}={ }^{\prime} \mathrm{x}^{\prime} \\
& \mathrm{ab}=\text { "ab" } \\
& \mathrm{abc}=\mathrm{ab} * \mathrm{~b}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} " \\
& \mathrm{abc}=\mathrm{ab} \star \mathrm{~b} \\
& \mathrm{abc}=\mathrm{ab} \star \text { string }(\mathrm{b})
\end{aligned}
$$

- Julia has better string handling in lots of other ways
- regular expressions


## Julia Doesn't Automatically Grow Arrays

- This is somewhat annoying but
- avoids inefficient code
- avoids some bugs
- An alternative approach is to use a comprehension

Matlab

```
for i=1:10
    x(i) = i^2
end
```

Julia

$$
x=[i * i \text { for i in 1:10] }
$$

In Julia this will be (probably) faster than
$\mathrm{x}=\operatorname{collect}(1: 10) \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2$

## List Comprehensions

- List comprehensions represent in a more mathematical syntax
- e.g.,

$$
\left\{i^{2} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, 10\right\}
$$

becomes
[i*i for i in 1:10]

- Syntactic sugar for defining one array in terms of another array or iterator
- Python-like syntax
- Can replace "in" with $\in$, or =

Try It!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[x \text { for } x \in 1: 2]} \\
& {[x * y \text { for } x=1: 2, y=3: 4]}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dictionaries (associative arrays)

- Dictionaries associate (key, value) pairs
- Looks like an array indexed by arbitrary objects Try It!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\text { Dict() } \\
& x[1]=\text { "five" } \\
& x[\text { "three"] }=3 \\
& x[\text { "three"] }
\end{aligned}
$$

Note I can grow this as I go

- They are called variously
- dictionaries in Smalltalk, Swift, Python, ...
- hashes in Perl, Ruby, ...
- maps in Java, Go, Scala, Haskell, Matlab in latest versions via Java
- Julia also has Sets


## More on Dictionaries

- Constructing dictionaries Try It!

```
dict = Dict("a" => 1, "b" => 2, "c" => 3)
dict = Dict{String,Integer}("a" => 1, "b" => 2)
dict = Dict(string(i) =>sin(pi*i/180) for i=0:360)
dict["90"]
```

- Useful functions


## Try It!

```
dict = Dict("a" => 1, "b" => 2, "c" => 3);
keys(dict) # which is an iterator
values(dict) # which is also an iterator
for key in keys(dict)
    println("$key => $(dict[key])")
end
```

- Note that entries are not ordered
- use sort (collect (keys (dict)))
- use SortedDict from DataStructures package


## Unicode Support

Julia has Unicode support, so the following should be a valid Lotka-Volerra simulation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta=10 \quad \# \text { number of cats } \\
& \text { \% } 0_{0}=100 \text { \# number of mice } \\
& \text { for } i=1: n \\
& \hat{\theta}=\hat{\theta}+\alpha * 2+\beta * * * \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

From https://twitter.com/eloceanografo/status/790939841223589888

## Try It!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CTRL-SHIFT-u 03b1 } \\
& \text { \alpha TAB }=1 \\
& \text { \pi TAB } \\
& c=' \backslash u 03 b 1^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Unicode Support

| Alpha | \u0391 | Beta | \u0392 | Gamma | \u0393 | Delta | \u0394 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Epsilon | \u0395 | Zeta | \u0396 | Eta | \u0397 | Theta | \u0398 |
| lota | \u0399 | Kappa | \u039a | Lambda | \u039b | Mu | \u039c |
| Nu | \u039d | Xi | $\backslash$ u039e | Omicron | \u039f | Pi | \u03a0 |
| Rho | \u03a1 | Sigma | \u03a3 | Tau | \u03a4 | Upsilon | \u03a5 |
| Phi | \u03a6 | Chi | $\backslash$ 003a7 | Psi | \u03a8 | Omega | $\backslash$ U03a9 |
| alpha | \u03b1 | beta | $\backslash u 03 \mathrm{~b} 2$ | gamma | \u03b3 | delta | \u03b4 |
| epsilon | \u03b5 | zeta | $\backslash u 03 \mathrm{~b} 6$ | eta | \u03b7 | theta | $\backslash$ u03b8 |
| iota | \u03b9 | kappa | $\backslash$ u03ba | lambda | \u03bb | mu | $\backslash$ u03bc |
| nu | $\backslash$ u03bd | xi | $\backslash$ u03be | omicron | $\backslash$ u03bf | pi | $\backslash \mathrm{u} 03 \mathrm{c} 0$ |
| rho | \u03c1 | altsigma | \u03c2 | sigma | \u03c3 | tau | $\backslash$ u03c4 |
| upsilon | \u03c5 | phi | \u03c6 | chi | \u03c7 | psi | $\backslash$ u03c8 |
| omega | \u03c9 | complex | \u2102 | naturals | \u2115 | rationals | $\backslash \mathrm{u} 211 \mathrm{a}$ |
| reals | \u211d | integers | \u2124 | forall | \u2200 | exists | $\backslash u 2203$ |
| triangle | \u2206 | uptri | \u2207 | isin | \u220a | pm | \u2213 |
| sqrt | \u221a | int | \u222b | leq | \u2264 | geq | \u2265 |
| subset | \u2283 | intersection | \u22c2 | union | \u22c3 |  |  |

For more see
https://docs.julialang.org/en/latest/manual/unicode-input/

There are lots more differences between Matlab and Julia ... but I hope they won't bite you this week.

## Some useful references

- https://learnxinyminutes.com/docs/julia/
- https://docs.julialang.org/en/release-0.6/ manual/noteworthy-differences/
- https://cheatsheets.quantecon.org/
- https://docs.julialang.org/en/stable/


## Section 3

## Activity

## Activity

Create a function to translate an arbitrary positive integer into Roman numerals.

- https://projecteuler.net/problem=89
- http://www.rapidtables.com/convert/number/ roman-numerals-converter.htm
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roman_numerals

Use standard (modern) form Roman numerals Skeleton

```
function int2roman(n::Int)
    # output a Roman numeral string
    end
```

Save your function into a .jl file, and "include" it.

## Bonus frames

## tic()/toc() performance




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ REPL = Read-Evaluate-Print Loop; old-school name is the shell, or CLE

